

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

SPEARMAN CORPORATION
MARYSVILLE DIVISION and SPEARMAN
CORPORATION KENT DIVISION,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE BOEING COMPANY,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C20-13RSM

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
WITHDRAW MOTION TO SEAL

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs’ “Notice of Withdrawal of Motion to Seal Exhibits... (Dkt. 173).” Dkt. #184. Plaintiffs have filed this Notice as a Motion. Plaintiffs indicate that “[f]ollowing the filing of the Motion to Seal, the parties conducted additional conferrals and it was determined that certain exhibits subject to the Motion to Seal may be filed conventionally.” *Id.*

A moving party may withdraw its own pending motion by filing a Notice to Withdraw Pending Motion. LCR 7(l). Typically, an order of the Court is unnecessary.

The Court will grant this Motion and strike Plaintiffs’ Motion to Seal at Dkt. #173. However, the Court notes that, with this Motion withdrawn/stricken, there are now several exhibits filed under seal without a motion asking the Court to keep them under seal—Exhibits

1 JJ, N, TT, and WW. The parties appear to understand this because they have filed redacted
2 versions of these exhibits. Dkt. #183. The Court will unseal these exhibits unless the parties
3 file a timely motion to keep them under seal.

4 Having reviewed the briefing and the remainder of the record, the Court hereby finds
5 and ORDERS that Plaintiffs' Motion for Withdrawal, Dkt. #184, is GRANTED. Plaintiffs'
6 Motion to Seal, Dkt. #173, is STRICKEN. The Court DIRECTS the parties to file a timely
7 motion addressing the remaining exhibits under seal, identified above. Failure to do so within
8 30 days will result in the exhibits being unsealed by the Court.

9
10 DATED this 31st day of March, 2022.

11
12 

13 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
14 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24